

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,649	02/28/2006	Yoshimitsu Kagiwada	SHIO-0110	4613	
	7590 01/18/2007 OODCOCK WASHBURN LLP		EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			PLUCINSKI,	PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3629	<del></del>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MO	NTUS	01/18/2007	PAI	DEB	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/537,649	KAGIWADA ET AL.		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jamisue A. Plucinski	3629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application	on Papers				
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
10) 🔲 🗀	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>/</i> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20060717	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/537,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the order reception information". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Regarding claims 1, 2 and 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 recites the limitation "the information". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 6. It should be noted that the claims are drawn to a system, which is limited to the structural limitations of the system and the capabilities there of.
- 7. With respect to Claim 2: The applicant states in the preamble that the invention is directed to a system. Claim 2, then claims" reading the delivery source information..." which is a positive recitation of a method step, therefore causing it to be unclear if the claim is directed to a system or a method.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,649 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because 32 USC 101 requires that in order to be patentable, the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter...." Applicant's Claim 2, appear to be attempting to embrace or overlap two different statuary classes, as set forth in 35 USC 101. the claims begin by disclosing a system with structural limitation, then claim a positive method step of "reading".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guidice et al. (6,463,420).
- 11. With respect to Claim 1: Guidice discloses a door to door parcel delivery information system (see abstract) comprising:
  - a. Commodity information storage means (Column 2, lines 54-65, Column 3, lines 56-65 and Column 4, lines 20-25);

Application/Control Number: 10/537,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

b. Door-to-door parcel delivery information storage means (Steps 412 to 420 with corresponding detailed description) capable of storing:

- i. Billing amount (Column 4, lines 58-65);
- ii. Delivery state (Column 6, lines 40-67);
- c. Communication means which communicates with an external device (Column 5, lines 21-37);
- d. Processing means which controls all other means (Reference numeral 16 with corresponding detailed description).
- 12. With respect to Claim 2: delivery source information storage means (Column 6, line 1-39).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/537,649

Art Unit: 3629

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

Page 5

15. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guidice.

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

16. With respect to Claim 3: Guidice discloses the use of processing and door-to-door storage means, however fails to disclose the information stored is whether the billing amount is paid. However, the specific type of information stored being whether the billing has been paid is deemed to be nonfunctional descriptive material and is not functionally involved in the steps recited. The processing steps would be performed the same regardless of what type of material is being shipped. Thus this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F .2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed.Cir.1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F .3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

- 17. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guidice in view of Kadaba (6,539,360).
- 18. Guidice discloses the use of shipping orders, however fails to disclose the items of the order are fragile articles or pets, and fails to disclose the delivery status is a state of the article or the pet. Kadaba discloses the use of a special handling item shipping and tracking system, which ships and tracks whether a package designated for special handling (such as fragile items, Column 6, lines 1-8) has been applied to the packages (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Guidice to include the tracking of special handling items, such as in Kadaba, in order to provide a system

which applies special handling to fragile items at appropriate times and to determine if there is a

Page 6

reoccurring failure in the special handling of items. (See Kadaba Column 4)

#### Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kintzer et al. (6,968,328) discloses the use of a tracking system for shipping packages, and Benzos et al. (7,006,989) discloses the use of a delivery and tracking system of a gift.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Plucinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,649

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jamisue Plucinski
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3629

Page 7